

## Agricultural Water Management Volume 242, 1 December 2020, 106386

Forecast of short-term daily reference evapotranspiration under limited meteorological variables using a hybrid bi-directional long short-term memory model (Bi-LSTM)

Juan Yin <sup>a, c, d, e</sup>, Zhen Deng <sup>a, b</sup>, Amor V.M. Ines <sup>e, f</sup> 으 쯔, Junbin Wu <sup>a</sup>, Eeswaran Rasu <sup>e</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> College of Civil and Hydraulic Engineering, Ningxia University, Yinchuan, 750021, China
- <sup>b</sup> College of Information Engineering, Ningxia University, Yinchuan, 750021, China
- <sup>c</sup> Engineering Research Center for Efficient Utilization of Water Resources in Modern Agriculture in Arid Regions, Yinchuan, 750021, China
- <sup>d</sup> Ningxia Research Center of Technology on Water-saving Irrigation and Water Resources Regulation, Yinchuan, 750021, China
- <sup>e</sup> Department of Plant, Soil and Microbial Sciences, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, 48824, USA
- <sup>f</sup> Department of Biosystems and Agricultural Engineering, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, 48824, USA

Received 12 February 2020, Revised 29 June 2020, Accepted 8 July 2020, Available online 1 August 2020, Version of Record 1 August 2020.

Check for updates

Show less \land

😪 Share 🌗 Cite

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106386

Get rights and content

## Abstract

As the standard method to compute reference evapotranspiration ( $ET_0$ ), Penman-Monteith (PM) method requires eight meteorological input variables, which makes it difficult to apply in data

10/3/22, 3:52 PM

scarce regions. To overcome this problem, a hybrid bi-directional long short-term memory (Bi-LSTM) model was developed to forecast short-term (1–7-day lead time) daily ET<sub>0</sub>. The model was trained, validated and tested using three meteorological variables for the period of 2006–2018 at selected three meteorological stations located in the semi-arid region of central Ningxia, China. The performance of the hybrid Bi-LSTM model to forecast short-term daily ET<sub>0</sub> was evaluated against daily ET<sub>0</sub> calculated by the Penman-Monteith method using the statistical metrics namely, mean absolute error (MAE), root mean square error (RMSE), Pearson's correlation coefficient (R) and Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE). The results showed that the hybrid Bi-LSTM model with a combination of three meteorological inputs (maximum temperature, minimum temperature and sunshine duration) provides the best forecast performance for short-term daily  $ET_0$  at the selected meteorological stations. When averaged across stations, the statistical performance at different forecast lead time were as follows; 1-day lead time: RMSE = 0.159 mm  $day^{-1}$ , MAE = 0.039 mm  $day^{-1}$ , R = 0.992, NSE = 0.988; 4-day lead time: RMSE = 0.247 mm  $day^{-1}$ , MAE = 0.075 mm day<sup>-1</sup>, R = 0.972, NSE = 0.985 and 7-day lead time: RMSE = 0.323 mm day<sup>-1</sup>, MAE = 0.089 mm day<sup>-1</sup>, R = 0.943, NSE = 0.982. Moreover, the hybrid Bi-LSTM model consistently improved the forecast performance of short-term daily  $ET_0$  compared to the adjusted Hargreaves-Samani (HS) method and the general Bi-LSTM model. The hybrid Bi-LSTM model developed in this study is currently integrated into the modern intelligent irrigation system of 30 ha of Lycium barbarum plantation in central Ningxia in China, a region with limited meteorological data. It is recommended however that the hybrid Bi-LSTM should be evaluated across a wide range of climatic conditions in different regions of the world.

## Introduction

Reference evapotranspiration  $(ET_0)$  is an essential meteorological variable to compute crop water requirements and soil water balances (Abdullah et al., 2015; Djaman and Irmak, 2013). Therefore, reasonable estimate and forecast of  $ET_0$  have become crucially important in agricultural water management, especially in irrigated agriculture for real-time irrigation scheduling and watersaving technologies (Hobbins et al., 2016; Shiri, 2017).

Globally, the Penman-Monteith FAO-56 method is used as the standard method to estimate the  $ET_0$  (Allen et al., 1998). Moreover, this method has been served as a criterion for comparing the forecasted values of other models (Pereira et al., 2015; Sentelhas et al., 2010; Shiri, 2017). However, calculation of  $ET_0$  using Penman-Monteith method is not feasible enough at field scale as it requires large amount of meteorological variables that are not easy and convenient to measure in individual farms (Almorox et al., 2015; Todorovic et al., 2013; Valiantzas, 2015). Meanwhile, Hargreaves-Samani (HS) method (Hargreaves and Samani, 1985) has been proposed as an alternative to Penman-Monteith method to estimate  $ET_0$  with limited set of variables (Xu and Singh, 2002; Almorox et al., 2018; Zanetti et al., 2019). However, the HS method has been shown to