# BHĀSA AND THE NĀŢYAŚĀSTRA

Vijayalakshmy Sivasanthiran

#### 1.0 Introduction

Bhāsa is unquestionably the most prolific of Sanskrit playwrights whose works have survived. His fame as a dramatist of no mean repute had spread far and wide in ancient India. In his first dramatic composition the Mālavikāgnimitra, Kālidāsa refers to Bhāsa together with Saumilla and Kaviputra with admiration and high regards as his great predecessors.

prathitayaśasām bhāsasaumillakaviputrādīnām'.....

In the seventh century, Poet Bāṇa celebrates Bhāsa's fame in his *Hars acarita* in the following terms:

sūtradhārakrtārambhair nāṭakair bahubhūmikaiḥ / sapatākairyaśo lebhe bhāso devakulairiva //²

Rajasekara of ninth century also refers to Bhāsa's plays in the following verse:

bhāsanāṭakachakrepi cchekaih kṣipte paitkṣitum / svapnavāsavadattasya dāhakobhūnna pāvakah //3

Rajasekara clearly asserts in this verse the Svapnavāsavadatta was the best of Bhāsa's plays.

Likewise there are so many references to Bhāsa in the later Sanskrit literature. But his works were unknown until 1912, when manuscripts of thirteen Sanskrit plays were discovered by T.Ganapatiśāstrī of Trivandrum, in Kerala. They were all anonymous works as he found them. T.Ganapatiśāstrī subjected all these plays to a critical examination and came to the conclusion that all the thirteen plays

discovered by him were of one author and that author was none other than Bhāsa of great fame.

The thirteen plays ascribed to Bhasa are as follows:

- 1. Madhyamavyāyoga
- 2. Dūtavākya
- 3. Dūtaghatotkacca
- 4. Karnabhāra
- 5. Ūrubhanga
- 6. Pancaratra
- 7. Pratimānātaka
- 8. Abhişekanātaka
- . 9. Bālacarita
  - 10. Pratijīnāyaugandharāyaņa
  - 11. Svapnavāsavadatta
  - 12. Avimāraka
  - 13. Daridracārudatta

The first five of this list are one - act plays, the Pancarātra a three-act piece. The Pratijnāyaugandarāyana although having four acts, cannot be called a nātikā or a prakarana. The Daridra - Cārudatta appears to be an incomplete prakarana, and the rest are nātaka-s of verying length. Most of the scholars have agreed with Ganapatiśāstrī in the ascription of these plays to Bhāsa4

#### 1.1 Bhāsa's Date

Bhāsa's Date like that of many other Sanskrit writers, is difficult to determine with any certainty. Reason for this is the non-availability of sufficient and reliable data. There is no doubt that he is much anterior to Kālidāsa. Many scholars now agree that Kālidāsa was the poet who adorned the court of Chandragupta II of Ujjaini and his successor Skandagupta who can be placed during the fourth and fifth centuries A.D. respectively. For Bhāsa's fame to spread across the length and breadth of India, it would have taken at least a century or two. Bhāsa's date, therefore cannot be later than the third century A.D

## 2.0 Bharata's Nātyaśāstra

The Natyaśāstra is the earliest treatise on dramaturgy that has come down to us. This work has been ascribed to Bharata, the divine sage who translated the Natyaveda created by Brahma into action<sup>5</sup>. Although the Natyaśāstra deals with music, dance and many other subjects, its main concern is drama. It can be assumed from this work that Sanskrit plays enjoyed great popularity as theatrical entertainments during his time and that they were staged in closed theatres.

The  $N\bar{a}tyas\bar{a}stra$  enumerates and describes ten types of play, which are collectively called  $R\bar{u}paka$ -s. These ten types are enumerated in the  $N\bar{a}tyas\bar{a}stra$  as follows:

nāṭakam saprakaraṇamanko vyāyoga eva ca/ bhāṇah samavakāras ca vīthī prahasanaṃ dimah// īhāmṛgaśca vijñeyā daśeme naṭyalakṣaṇe/6

The  $n\bar{a}tik\bar{a}$  is also mentioned and discussed in the  $N\bar{a}tya\dot{s}\bar{a}stra$ . But the  $n\bar{a}tik\bar{a}$  is excluded from the  $r\bar{u}paka$  group. It is a mixture of the  $n\bar{a}taka$  and the prakarana and the  $N\bar{a}tya\dot{s}\bar{a}stra$  speaks of the mixed character of the  $n\bar{a}tik\bar{a}$  with regard to the plot and other features. It says prakarana  $n\bar{a}takabhed\bar{a}dutp\bar{a}dyam$  ...... $n\bar{a}tik\bar{a}$   $j\bar{n}ey\bar{a}'$ .

This mixed character of the  $n\bar{a}tik\bar{a}$  justifies its exclusion from the  $r\bar{u}paka$  group its being not regarded as an independent type. Some dramaturgical works erroneously list it under the  $upar\bar{u}paka$  types, which are nothing but a group of dance-drama types with no written dialogues.

# 2.1 Date of Bharata, the author of the Nāṭyaśāstra

The date of Bharata too cannot be fixed with any certainty. But his date is more or less fixed as the second century  $A.D^s$ . By the time of Kālidāsa, the Natyaśastra was not merely known, but also its authority had already been accepted as binding on poets.

But Bhāsa does not accord entirely with the rules stipulated by Bharata in his Nāṭyaśāstra. Here it has to be examined whether the Nāṭyaśāstra was written after Bhāsa or vīce versa.

There are of course many instances where Bhāsa agrees with the Nātyaśāstra. The number of such instances is also large that it is difficult to treat them as mere coincidences. But it is notable that certain very important rules set out in the Nāṭyaśāstra, such as the prohibition of depicting death on the stage have been violated by Bhāsa. However this cannot be taken as conclusive evidence to place Bhāsa before Bharata for it may be that he purposely violated them to suit his theatrical purposes. In this connection it may be mentioned that there is a reference to a Nāṭyaśāstra in his Avimāraka, where the Vidūṣaka confuses that work with the Rāmāyana. This shows that during Bhāsa's time the Nāṭyaśāstra must have been in existence and must have also been well-known. Further, Kālidāsa in his Vikramorvaśīya clearly regards Bharata of great antiquity as a divine sage thus:

muninā bharatena yah prayogo bhavafīṣvaṣṭarasas'rayo niyuktaḥ / lalitābhinayam tamadya bhartā marutām draṣṭumanāḥ salokapalaḥ //¹º.

But he regards Bhāsa only as a poet (dramatist) who was his predecessor. These references also could be taken into consideration in regard to the fixing of the dates of Bhāsa and Bharata. This unmistakably proves the great antiquity of Bharata.

# 3.0 Bhāsa's Techniques Compared with those of Bharata.

Bhāsa agrees with the teachings of the Bharata's Nāṭyaśāstra in many respects. As mentioned above there are however several notable instances where Bhāsa deviates from Bharata's injunctions. In this connection it would be worthwhile to point out the instances where Bhāsa agrees with Bharata and where he violates the rules that are laid down by Bharata.

#### 3.1 Nandi-Benediction

Bharata lists  $N\bar{a}nd\bar{n}$  under the Preliminaries that are to be performed on the stage before the commencement of the play". In the complete  $p\bar{u}rvaranga$  as described in

the  $N\bar{a}tya$ śāstra, the  $N\bar{a}nd\bar{i}$ , occupies the fourth place among  $Bahiryavanik\bar{a}$  anga-s<sup>1</sup>. But almost all Sanskrit plays found to-day usually start with an introductory benediction called  $N\bar{a}nd\bar{i}$ , which must be same number originally included in the  $p\bar{u}rvaraniga$ . This shows that items like suṣkavākṛṣṭa,  $c\bar{a}ri$  and  $mah\bar{a}c\bar{a}ri$  were gradually dropped from the  $p\bar{u}rvaranga$ . The  $trigat\bar{a}$  and  $pravocan\bar{a}$  seem to have been incorporated in the  $prast\bar{a}van\bar{a}$ -s. The  $N\bar{a}nd\bar{i}$  is an initial worship, which was performed with a view to removing any obstructions on the stage and ensure successful staging of the play. The significance of the  $N\bar{a}nd\bar{i}$  is explained etymoligically. Two opinions have been given about the derivation of the word  $N\bar{a}nd\bar{i}$ . According to one, the word has derived from the verb root  $n\bar{a}nd$  (to rejoice). Since the dramatist, the actors and the audience derive pleasure out of it, it is called  $N\bar{a}nd\bar{i}$ . The other is that the Nandin - the bull of God Śiva and its back was once used as a stage for Siva's dance; so the stage is called  $N\bar{a}nd\bar{i}$  and the preliminary worship performed on the stage is also called  $N\bar{a}nd\bar{i}$ .

Bhāsa uses the Nāndī in all his plays. Here the Nāndī is sung most probably behind the curtain before the arrival of the Sūtradhāra on the stage. But in certain plays belonging to the post-Bhāsa period the Nāndī denotes the benedictory verses composed by the dramatist himself. All of Bhāsa'a plays as a rule, begin with the stage -direction nāndyante tatah praviśati sūtradhārah.

It could be deemed that by the word  $N\bar{a}nd\bar{a}$ , Bhāsa referred to the entire  $p\bar{u}rvaranga$ , the dramatic preliminaries.

According to Bharata, the  $N\bar{a}nd\bar{b}$  being the chief means of removing would be obstacles was to be recited by the  $S\bar{u}tradh\bar{a}ra$  resorting to the madhyama svara, and then another person called  $sth\bar{a}paka$  entered and commenced the  $prast\bar{a}van\bar{a}$  etc. Bhasā regularly uses the term  $sth\bar{a}pan\bar{a}$  for the prologue which is known as  $prast\bar{a}van\bar{a}$  and  $\bar{a}mukha$  in Bharata's  $N\bar{a}tya\dot{s}\bar{a}stra$  and used by later dramatists 17. Bhāsa seems to have cut down the preliminaries and made an end of the practice of getting the play introduced by the  $sth\bar{a}paka$ .

Bāṇa's statement Sūtradhārakṛtārambhair ....." in the Harṣacarita perhaps emphasises this assumption<sup>18</sup>. E.W. Marasinghe's statement in this connection is also appropriate to quote here.

"The prologue to the plays ascribed to Bhāsa are perhaps the shortest. In all of them before he has finished his address the Sūtradhāra is disturbed by an off stage voice and after ascertaining what the voice is, retires leaving the stage to the character. Here the prologue ends<sup>119</sup>.

### 3.2 Vişkambhaka

Viṣkambhaka is an explanatory scene. Bharata enumerates five explanatory devices called arthopakṣepaka such as viṣkambhaka, praveśaka (two kinds of intimation scenes) cūlikā (intimating speech) ankāvatāra (transitional scene) and ankamukha (anticipatory scene)<sup>20</sup>. All of these are not scenes in the proper sense, but intimations of certain details not physically shown on the stage and which lack action and explain the past and future happenings with the view to cut down the length of time in a play. This occurs between two acts or at the beginning of the first act. It is enjoined in the Nāṭyaśāstra, that in the nāṭaka and the prakaraṇa the viṣkambhaka should always be introduced by means of at least one middling character and it should be concise and include Sanksrit dialogue<sup>21</sup>. By this it is meant that superior characters do not appear in the viṣkambhaka.

The viṣkambhaka is of two kinds, such as śuddha (pure) and samkīrṇa (mixed). Of these. śuddha is made up with middling characters and the samkīrṇa with a mixture of inferior and middling characters<sup>22</sup>. Following these norms, Daśarūpaka also explains these two types of viskambhaka<sup>23</sup>.

Bhāsa employs the viṣkambhaka in a number of plays. A śuddha viṣkambhaka is conducted by the Chamberlain in Bhāsa's Pratijnāyaugandharāyaṇa 24. and also in the Pratimānāṭaka, there the śuddha viṣkambhaka occurs in the beginning of Act VI in which two sages converse about the heroic fight of Jaṭāyu. A samkīrṇa viṣkambhaka occurs in Bhāsa's Pratimānāṭaka in which a Chamberlain and a female door-keeper participate<sup>25</sup>.

The Nāṭyaśāstra prescribes the viṣkambhaka to the nāṭaka and the prakarana<sup>26</sup>. This rule possibly means that the types of plays other than the nāṭaka and prakaraṇa should not allow viṣkambhaka-s. The Nāṭyaśāstra does not clearly mention that other types of plays should not include viṣkambhaka. However Bhāsa uses such a viṣkambhaka in his Pancarātra which does not fall into any of the known types of drama<sup>27</sup>. In this viṣkambhaka three brahmins describe Duryodhana's sacrifice. Likewise, in the Ūrubhanga a one-act play a viṣkambhaka occurs at the beginning of the play. In this viṣkambhaka three persons converse in Sanskrit.

#### 3.3 Praveśaka

As mentioned above the praveśaka comes under the five explanatory devices (arthopakṣepaka). The Nāṭyaśāstra gives a description of the Pravesaka<sup>n</sup>. According to Bharata, this is also confined to the nāṭaka and prakaraṇa as in the case of viskambhaka<sup>29</sup>. Both viṣkambhaka and praveśaka are similar in the both, past and future incidents are presented to the audience. But a praveśaka cannot occur at the beginning of the first act of a play whereas a viṣkambhaka can be placed even at the beginning of a play, that is between the prologue and the opening act. A praveśaka could be used to present incidents that cannot be shown physically on the stage in the course of an act. Unlike the viṣkambhaka here the conversation is exclusively among the inferior characters, hence the dialogue is strictly in Prakrit. Later treatises such as the Daśarūpaka, and the Pratāparudrīya also give almost similar definitions of this term<sup>30</sup>. In the Pratimānāṭaka, there is a praveśaka at the beginning of Act III where a servant and a white-washer speak in Prakrit on the preparation of the image-house.

The Nāṭyaśāstra also teaches that when incidents that are to be finished in the course of a day, cannot be accommodated in an act, they should be presented by a praveśaka after closing the act<sup>31</sup>. Bhāsa in his Avimāraka<sup>32</sup> and Bālacarita<sup>33</sup> employs praveśaka of this nature.

#### 3.4 Patākasthānaka

The term patākasthānaka can be defined in short as a dramatic moment occurring in the course of a play. Bharata mentions the word patākasthānaka<sup>34</sup> and also distinguishes its four types in his Nātyaśāstra. According to him, it is an episode

indication. When some matter is being taken in hand, another matter of similar nature is suggested through an accidental idea (āgantukabhāva) and it is known as patākasthānaka due to its nature of indication like that of a patāka-a banner. The four types of patākasthānaka are described by four consecutive verses in the Nāṭyaśāstra 35. The sudden development of a novel meaning due to suggestion given as the first type of patākasthāna. Words completely carrying double meaning and expressed in a poetic language are an example of the second type of patākasthāna. The third type of patākasthāna consists in suggesting with courtesy the object of a play in a subtle manner and in the form of a dialogue. Words with double meaning expressed in a well-knit poetic language and having a reference to something are given as an example for the fourth type of patākasthāna.

The  $Da\acute{s}ar\ddot{u}paka$  ignores these varieties but defines the term only.<sup>36</sup> But the  $S\bar{a}hityadarpana$  follows the  $N\bar{a}tya\acute{s}\bar{a}stra$  and defines them more or less in the same terms<sup>37</sup>.

A. B. Keith describes the *patākasthāna* as a separate element, the pro-episode, an equivocal speech or situation which foreshadows an event whether near at hand or distant.<sup>38</sup>

It is said that the element of dramatic irony is supplied through these four patākasthana-s<sup>39</sup>.

Bhāsa uses patakasthana-s to great advantage to highlight dramatic moments and patakasthana-s in fact have become a striking feature of his plays. When Bāṇa in his Harşcarita refers to Bhāsa, significantly mentions about Bhāsa's fondness for the employment of patākasthāna in his plays<sup>40</sup>. This shows that Bhāsa had earned a reputation as a dramatist who employed striking patākasthāna-s.

In the Abhisekanātaka when Rāvana insults Sītā and says to her "when Indrajit kills the wretched man in battle, as well as Laksmana his brother, who will set you free?" At the very same time, a voice heard behind the scene says "by  $R\bar{a}ma$ , by  $R\bar{a}ma^{41}$ . This was actually uttered by a demon who came there with the news that of

Rāvaṇa 's son Indrajit was slain in the battle by Rāma and Laksmaṇa. As the demon was excited and was in a hurry to report this urgent message to Rāvaṇa, the former started shouting from far away. This fits very well as the answer to Rāvaṇa 's question, as Sita is rescued later on.

Similarly in the *Pratij*ñāyaugandharāyaṇa, Vāsavadattā's father Mahāsena and his queen are engaged in a discussion about the qualities of several suitors of Vāsavadatta. The king then asks his queen "which of these do you feel the most worthy?" Just at this moment the Chamberlain rushes in to break the news that the king of Vatsa has been taken captive and in his excitement he exclaims 'Vatsarāja!<sup>12</sup>. meaning that the Vatsarāja has been taken captive. This serves as the answer to the querry.

These type of incidents foreshadow the events that are to take place in the course of the play. This excites astonishment in the audience.

#### 3.5 Bharatavākya

The bharatavākya is the concluding verse of a play, recited by all the actors who appear on the stage, not in their respective roles but purely as actors. The term bharata also means nata that is actor, <sup>43</sup> and thus bharatavākya is considered as the statement of an actor or actors in contrast to characters. This statement containing a benediction is pronounced by the bharata-s (actors) in their capacity as actors. Here the actors have shorn off their respective roles and pose merely as actors.

According to Rāghavabatta, the *bharatavākya* is the benediction (blessings) addressed to the ruler invoking protection, by the actor at the end of the play, as there is no provision for the actor to address the audience in the course of the play after the *prastāvanā*<sup>44</sup>.

In the Nātyaśāstra, there is no reference to the bharatavākya. But it is notable that out of the thirteen plays ascribed to Bhāsa eight plays end with a bharatavākya and other plays end with a blessing or a prayer.

Bharata has allowed at the end of the *nirvahana* juncture, a verse to define *praśasti*. There he says that the prayer invoking peace to the king and the country is called *prasasti*<sup>45</sup>. It could be noted that the purpose served by this in the *praśasti* more or less resembles that of the *bharatavākya*.

In this connection, it is appropriate to quote the view of the Rasārṇavasudhākara which identifies the so-called praśasti with bharatavākya. It says that the wishing of welfare of the world by the actors is prasasti<sup>46</sup>.

Bhāsa seems to be the first Sanskrit playwright who employed a *bharatavākya* at the end of the play in the sense of a blessing or the invocation for the well being to be recited by the actors. Aśvaghosa who was anterior to Bhāsa, uses *bharatavākya* merely as a stage - direction in his piece.

The term *bharata* instead of usual *naṭa* may have been purposely used as a respect for Bharatamuni who is respectfully quoted as the "Father of Indian drama"<sup>47</sup>.

Therefore, we may suggest that the employment of *bharatavākya* at the end of a play is an innovation introduced by Bhāsa. The classical playwrights who necessarily employ a *bharatavākya* at the end of a play, also must have followed Bhāsa's lead. Employing a *bharatavākya* at the end of a play, later became mandatory.<sup>48</sup>

# 4.0 Bharata's classification of plays and its applicability to Bhāsa's Works

Bharata in his Nātyaśāstra classifies plays into ten categories as mentioned above<sup>49</sup> and defines the characteristics of each. It is appropriate to compare the characteristics of each type of play defined by Bharata with those of Bhāsa's plays.

Bhāsa has tried his hands at different types of play. As per features described by Bharata, out of thirteen plays ascribed to Bhāsa, five plays namely Pratimānāṭaka, Abhiṣeka, Bālacarita, Svapnavāsavadatta and Avimāraka clearly come under the nāṭaka catagory.

Even though Bhāsa agrees with the norms laid by Bharata in regard to the nāṭaka catagory, there are instances of deviation from Bharata to be seen in these nāṭaka -s of Bhāsa. For example, sport on the stage is prohibited by Bharata<sup>50</sup>. Abhinavagupta refers to the prohibition of sport and points out that Bhāsa disobeys this in his Svapnavāsavadatta<sup>51</sup>. The case in point can be found in Act II of the Svapnavāsavadatta.

Similarly, in the *Pratimānāṭak*a Bhāsa disregards a Bharatan rule by depicting the death of Daśaratha on the stage. Bharata gives a ruling on the prohibition of death on the stage<sup>52</sup>. Death scenes are also to be found in his *Abhiṣekanāṭaka*, Ūrubhanga and Bālacarita. In the Ūrubhanga the hero dies on the stage and in the Karnabhāra the hero goes towards his certain death.

The  $Pratij\tilde{n}$  ayaugandhar ayana is a non-descript type that does not fit into any of the ten  $r\bar{u}paka$  types described by Bharata, but at close examination it appears to come very close to the prakarana class barring the plot which is well-known and the number of acts (which is four) which is prescribed for the dima and the  $n\bar{a}tik\bar{a}$ .

The one-act plays  $D\bar{u}taghatotkaca$ ,  $D\bar{u}tav\bar{a}kya$ ,  $Madhyamavy\bar{a}yoga$ ,  $Karnabh\bar{a}ra$  and  $\bar{U}rubhanga$ , bear semblance to Bharata's  $vy\bar{a}yoga$  type of play. According to Bharata, these plays include scenes kindling  $d\bar{v}pta$  rasa such as battle, challenging scenes, angry conflict etc<sup>53</sup>. A.B. Keith too agrees that these plays answer well to the description of  $vy\bar{a}yoga$  given by  $Bharata^{54}$ .

The Pañcarātra is the one and only play which has three acts among the whole body of extant Sanskrit dramatic literature. Though it bears certain features of a samavakāra, it cannot be categorized under that type as the well-known god or demon does not constitute its character as required by theory<sup>55</sup>. Its hero Duryodhana, is a king, and its subject- matter is drawn from the Mahābhārata. Thus the Pañcarātra is also described as a nondescript type not conforming to any category mentioned in the Nātyaśāstra.

The Daridra-Cārudatta appears to be a prakarana of which only the first four acts have survived or the poet may not have lived to see its completion. The famous prakarana the Mrcchakaţika of Śūdraka, which has ten acts, is based on the Daridra Cārudatta which possesses all the important features that make the Mrcchakaţika a unique work as far as dramatic technique concerned.

#### 5.0 Conclusion

From the foregoing facts we can come to the safe conclusion that Bharata was anterior to Bhāsa. Accordingly the Nāṭyaśāstra must have been compiled before Bhāsa's time. It is true that Bhāsa violates certain rules laid down by Bharata with regard to the contents of an act. But it should be noted, that the Bharata's rules he has obeyed are far greater in number than what he has violated. Reasons could be sought as to why he deviates from Bharata's norms.

The  $n\bar{a}nd\bar{a}$  being sung behind the stage just before the commencement of the play proper may point to a curtailed  $p\bar{u}rvaranga$  with certain numbers originally included in the former being transferred to the  $prast\bar{a}van\bar{a}$ . Bharata has pointed out in the  $N\bar{a}tya\dot{s}\bar{a}stra$  itself that the  $p\bar{u}rvaranga$  could be shortened as too long a  $p\bar{u}rvaranga$  may tire out the actor as well as the audience. Therefore it cannot be said that Bhāsa had violated Bharatan rules with regard to the presentation of the  $p\bar{u}rvaranga$ .

In the case of the depiction of death on the stage, Bharata frankly says that death should not be exhibited on the stage. But in some other verses he himself shows the way as to how death from disease or poisoning or snake-bite(etc). Should be enacted on the stage  $^{57}$ . Thus Bhāsa must have had in his opinion that the depiction of death on the stage not a violation of rules of Natya sāstra. It leads us to assume that Bharata must have thought that practical problems such as removing the dead person from the stage without disturbing the aesthetic susceptibilities of the audience etc. may arise by showing the death on the stage. But without giving room for these type of problems, Bhāsa cleverly brings in the curtain, where the death occurs, at the end of the play in the  $\bar{U}$  rubha $\bar{u}$  and in the P ratim $\bar{u}$  and at the end of an act. (Act II). Thereby

Bhāsa avoids the embarrassment on the part of the director of removing the person posing to be dead or for the actor to get up and walk out in full view of the audience.

A. B. Keith says "Bhāsa has indeed been claimed as a tragedian, but with complete disregard for the facts; there is in fact in his dramas disregard of the rule which objects to death..... is just punishment" This is in relevant with regard to the *Ūrubhanga* and the *Karnabhāra*.

However it should be accepted that Bhāsa purposely deviates from Bharata's norms possibly to suit his theatre purpose.

He must have purposely introduced several features such as the *bharatavākya* and the depiction of death in his works. He must have thought that the depiction of death on the stage would heighten the dramatic effect and the emotional sensibility of the audience.

# **Foot Notes**

1.	Malavikagnimitra of Kālidāsa	ı -	Mirnayasagar Press, Bombay (1930) Prologue.
2.	Harşacarita of Bāṇabaṭṭa	-	ed. P. V. Kane, Bombay, (1918) Introduction,
			Verse. 16.
3.	Rajaśekara	-	IA, XLII, 52.
4.	Keith, A.B	-	The Sanskrit Drama, Oxford University
			Press, reprinted (1954) p. 91 ff.
	Kale, M.R	÷	Svapnavāsavadatta, ed. with commentary and
			translation, Delhi, reprinted (1996)
			Introduction, XVII ff.
5.	Shekhar, Indu	-	Sanskrit Drama, Its origin and Decline, Delhi
			2 <sup>nd</sup> ed. (1977) p. 41.

Vol.II, Delhi 18.2,3.

with the Commentary Abhinavabharati.

Nātyaśāstra of Bharatamuni

7. Ibid. 18.58-60 8. Raghavan. V. Sanskrit Drama, Its Aesthetics and Production, Madras (1993) p. 92. Plays Ascribed to Bhasa, Poona (1962) Act II. 9. Avimāraka of Bhāsa 10. Vikramorvaśīya of Kālidāsa ed. M.Kale, Bombay, (1960) 2.18. 11. Nātvaśāstra 5.24 12. Ibid. 5.110 f. Pratimānātaka of Mahākavi Bhāsa, A Study 13. Janaki, S. S. - Guide, Madras (1987) p. 47. Article "Consecration of the Kuttambalam 14. Rajagopalan, L. S. temple theatres - of Kerala", Samskrta Ranga Annual VIII, Madras (1987) p. 30. 5.104 - 109 15. Nātyaśāstra 5.171 16. Ibid. 17. Ratnāvali of Sri Harsa ed. Devadhar, Poona (1954), Abhijn Kālidāsa. ed. M.R.Kale, ānasākuntala of Bombay (1961). 18. Foot Note 2. (above) 19. Marasinghe. E.W. The Sanskrit Theatre and Stage Craft, Delhi (1989) p. 312. 19.110 20. Nātyaśāstra. 21. Ibid 19.111 - 112. 18.54 22. Ibid Delhi (1962) 1.59 23. Daśarūpaka of Dhanañjaya. 24. Pratijnāyaugandharāyana Plays Ascribed to Bhāsa, Poona (1962) Act. II. Plays Ascribed to Bhasa, Poona (1962) Act II. 25. Pratimānāţaka 26. Nātyaśāstra 18.57. Plays Ascribed to Bhasa, Poona (1962) Act II. 27. Pañcarātra 18-26 28. Nātyaśāstra 18.33 29. Ibid

30.	Daśarūpaka.	-	1.60
	Pratāparudriya of Vidyanatha	-	with Ratnapana of Kumaraswamin ed.
			Chandra Shekar Shastri, Madras (1914) 3.24.
31.	Nātyaśāstra	-	18.25,26.
32.	Avimāraka	-	Plays Ascribed to Bhāsa, Poona (1962) Act II.
33.	Bālacarita	- F	Plays Ascribed to Bhāsa, Poona (1962) Act III.
34.	Nāṭyaśāstra	-	19.30-31.
35.	Ibid	-	19.31 - 34
36.	Daśarūpaka	-	1.14.
37.	Sāhityadarpaṇa of Viśvanātha	-	with Vimalaviyakhyaya, Varanasi (1956) 298-99.
38.	Keith. A. B.	-	The Sanskrit Drama, p. 304.
39.	Tarlekar. G. H.	-	Studies in the Nāṭyaśāstra, Delhi, revised 2 <sup>™</sup>
			ed.(1991)p.32.
40.	Foot Note 2 (above)		
41.	Abhisekanātaka	->	Plays Ascribed to Bhāsa, Poona (1962) Act V.
			10/11.
42.	Pratijñāyangandharāyaņa	-	Plays Ascribed to Bhāsa, Poona (1962) Act
			II.8/9.
	Amaraghosa of Amarasimha	-	Haridas Series, Varanasi (1964)
44.	Kale, M. R.	-	Pratimānāṭaka, Motilal Banarsidass Ltd.
			Delhi, reprinted (1995) p. 88.
	Nāṭyaśāstra	•	19.104.
46.	Rasārņava Sudhākara of		
	Singhabhūpāla.	-	Trivandrum (1916) 3.74.
47.	Tarlekar, G.H.	-	Studies in the Nātyaśāstra, Motilal
			Banarsidas Ltd, Delhi 2nd Ed.(1991) p. 245.
	Vibhutinath Jha.	-	I.H.Q., Vol. VI (1930) pp 175 - 176.
	Foot Note 6 (above)		
50.	Venkatakrishna Rao. U.	-	Classical Sanskrit Literature Orient
			Longmans Ltd. (1961) P. 160.
51.	Kale, M. R.	-	Svapnavāsavadatta, Introduction, P. XXI

52. Nātyaśāstra.

53. Ibid.

54. Keith, A.B.

55. Nātyaśāstra.

56. Ibid.

57. Ibid.

58. Keith.A.B

18.39.

18.92-93.

The Sanskrit Drama, pp. 89 - 90.

18.63-64.

5.146 - 148, 164.

7.85-90,25.100-107.

The Sanskrit Drama, p. 354.